

MoCo Cryptocurrency Litigation Tracker - Other Regulatory Litigation and Proceedings Section

For more information, contact [Jason P. Gottlieb](mailto:jgottlieb@morrisoncohen.com)
 212.735.8837 — jgottlieb@morrisoncohen.com

Other Regulatory Litigation and Proceedings

Filing / order	Filing Date	Court	Docket #	Causes of Action / Relevant Authority	Rulings / Orders of Note
U.S. v. \$44,601 In U.S. Currency	12/9/2019	S.D.N.Y.	19-cv-11248	Action <i>in rem</i> to forfeit and condemn funds arising from an investigation into widespread online drug transactions on the “dark web” using BitCoin pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A)	
Grewal, Attorney General of the State of New Jersey on behalf of Christopher W. Gerold, Chief of the New Jersey Bureau of Securities v. Pocketinns, Inc. and Mada	7/17/2019	Superior Court of New Jersey, Chancery Division, General Equity, Essex County	C-151-19	N.J.S.A 49:3-60 (offer and sale of unregistered securities); N.J.S.A 49:3-56 (a)(Acting as an agent without registration); N.J.S.A 49:3-56(h)(employing unregistered agent)	

Filing / order	Filing Date	Court	Docket #	Causes of Action / Relevant Authority	Rulings / Orders of Note
U.S. v. \$194,073.14 in U.S. Currency	6/28/2019	W.D. La.	19-cv-00837	Action <i>in rem</i> to forfeit and condemn proceeds involved in Defendant Randall Lord’s conspiracy to operate an unlicensed money transmitting or servicing business prohibited by 18 U.S.C. § 1960, and thereby forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A) and Defendant Randall Lord’s Plea Agreement filed on April 19, 2016.	Judgment granting motion for summary judgment forfeiting and condemning the \$194,073.14 in United States Currency for the use of the United States of America and further ordering that Randall Lord’s claim to said currency is dismissed with prejudice.
In the Matter of the Inquiry by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York v. iFinex, Inc. et al.	4/25/2019	Supreme Court of New York, County of New York	450545/2019	N.Y. General Business Law Article 23-A (the “Martin Act”); N.Y. Executive Law § 63(12)	Order granting preliminary injunction Decision & Order modifying preliminary injunction Order denying Respondents’ motion for lack of personal and subject matter jurisdiction

Filing / order	Filing Date	Court	Docket #	Causes of Action / Relevant Authority	Rulings / Orders of Note
FINRA Department of Enforcement v. Timothy Tilton Ayre	9/11/2018	FINRA administrative proceeding	2016049307801	Exchange Act § 10(b) and Rule 10b-5; negligent misrepresentation; Securities Act § 5; NASD Rule 3040 & FINRA Rule 3280; (private securities transactions)	
FTC v. Dluca (d/b/a Bitcoin Funding Team and My7Network) et al.	2/20/2018	S.D.FI	18-cv-60379	15 U.S.C. § 45(a) (FTC Act § 5(a))	Order granting Preliminary Injunction Order to Liquidate Cryptocurrency Order on Motion to Strike Order Denying Motion to Dismiss Contempt Order
In the Matter of Linda Healthcare Corporation Co. and Arturo Devesa	5/2/2018	Colorado administrative proceeding	2018-CDS-022	C.R.S. § 11-51-201, 301	
In the Matter of Broad Investments, LLC and Guoyong Liu	5/2/2018	Colorado administrative proceeding	2018-CDS-021	C.R.S. § 11-51-201, 301	
U.S. v. Coinbase	3/16/2017	N.D.Cal.	17-cv-1431	Petition to enforce IRS summons	Petition granted in part , 11/29/17

Filing / order	Filing Date	Court	Docket #	Causes of Action / Relevant Authority	Rulings / Orders of Note
FTC v. Equiliv Investments and Ryan Ramminger	6/29/2015	D.N.J.	14-cv-00815	15 U.S.C. § 45(a) (FTC Act § 5(a)); N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 et seq.	Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment
In re Ripple Labs	5/5/2015	FinCEN / USAO-N.D.Ca.		12 U.S.C. §§ 1829b, 1951-1959 and 31 U.S.C. §§ 5311-5314, 5316-5332 (Bank Secrecy Act)	
FTC v. BF Labs, Inc., d/b/a Butterfly Labs	9/15/2014	W.D.Mo.	14-cv-00815	15 U.S.C. § 45(a) (FTC Act § 5(a))	Stipulated Final Order for Permanent Injunction and Monetary Judgment

The information on these pages is for informational purposes only and is derived from sources believed to be reliable as of the date first written. No representation or warranty is made regarding the accuracy of any statement or information in this article, nor as to the completeness of the information above. All allegations in any complaint or regulatory action are only allegations; and no statements made in any of the linked materials should be interpreted as conclusive fact.

This page is not intended as a substitute for legal counsel, and is not intended to create or constitute, a lawyer-client relationship or legal advice. The impact of the law for any particular situation depends on a variety of factors. If you have questions, seek professional legal counsel. Morrison Cohen LLP may represent one or more entities mentioned on these pages.

For more information on cryptocurrency and ICO litigation, please contact the MoCo Cryptocurrency Litigation team:

Jason P. Gottlieb	212.735.8837	jgottlieb@morrisoncohen.com
Daniel C. Isaacs	212.735.8653	disaacs@morrisoncohen.com
Christopher W. Pendleton	212.735.8783	cpendleton@morrisoncohen.com